Direct and indirect effects of glaciers on aquatic biodiversity in high Andean peatlands
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Abstract

The rapid melting of glacier cover is one of the most obvious impacts of climate change on alpine ecosystems and biodiversity. Our understanding of the impact of a decrease in glacier runoff on aquatic biodiversity is currently based on the “glacier-heterogeneity-diversity” paradigm, according to which, there is high α-diversity at intermediate levels of glacial influence due to the high environmental heterogeneity caused by glacier water. This α-diversity pattern generates high levels of between-site aquatic community variation (β-diversity) and increases regional diversity (γ-diversity). We investigated this paradigm by analyzing the different diversity patterns (α, β, and γ-diversity) of four aquatic groups (zooplankton, macroinvertebrates, algae and macrophytes) living in high-elevation peatlands (> 4500 m above sea level). We sampled 200 pools from 20 peatlands along a glacier gradient in the Cordillera Real of Bolivia. We performed structural equation modeling (SEM) to analyze the potential mechanisms underlying the observed diversity patterns. Intermediate levels of glacial influence (15-20% cover) resulted in high heterogeneity, but α-diversity responded to glacial influence only for the zooplankton group (Cladocera). Our SEM analysis did not identify environmental heterogeneity as a significant variable explaining the relationship between glacier and α-diversity. Peatland area had a strong positive effect on heterogeneity and diversity. β-diversity was significantly associated with glacier gradient and 12.9% of the total regional diversity (γ-diversity) was restricted to peatlands with a high degree of glacial influence. These findings provide new insight into the potential effects of glacial retreat on the aquatic biodiversity in the peatlands of the tropical Andes.
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