
A tale of two diversities

Charles Figuières*¹, Chloé Mulier , and Pierre Courtois

¹INRA, UMR LAMETA (LAMETA) – LAMETA, UFR d’Economie, Avenue Raymond DUGRAND -
Site de Richter C.S. 79606, 34960 MONTPELLIER CEDEX 2, French Southern Territories

Abstract

Efficient management of biodiversity aims at allocating conservation efforts in order to maximize diversity. Defining a diversity criterion is however far to be trivial; there is not one but several indices that can be used as biodiversity measures. This paper elicits and compares two in situ criteria for biodiversity conservation, based on two biodiversity indices stemming from different disciplines: Weitzman’s index in economics and Rao’s index in ecology. Both indices combines differently pieces of information about (1) species survival probability, and (2) measures of dissimilarity between species. In order to truly have in situ protection criteria, we add another layer of information about (3) the ecological interactions between species. Considering a simple three species ecosystem, we show that choosing one criterion or the other has policy implications, for they sometimes deliver diverging protection recommendations. We unravel the role played by the elements (1), (2) and (3) in the rankings, which allows us to highlight some specificities of the in situ criteria. Remarkably, other things equal, Weitzman’s in situ ranking tends to favor ”robust” species, while Rao’s in situ ranking gives priority to ”fragile” species.

Keywords: conservation priorities, ecological interactions, biodiversity indices

*Speaker